

# ENGAGEMENT SNAPSHOT



Engagement opportunities during Phase 4 of the Brookwood-Fernridge Community Plan Update (April to May 2017) included a series of community workshops on the desired form and character of multi-family buildings, and an Open House and online Feedback Form to gather feedback on the Preliminary Draft Brookwood-Fernridge Community Plan and Draft Tree Clear-Cutting Bylaw.



9

Community Workshop  
Sessions



525

Attended the  
Public Open House



283

Feedback Forms  
completed

## Highlights of the Feedback on the Preliminary Draft Community Plan

### Land Use Plan

Many respondents indicated that the range of housing types and densities proposed were reasonable and balanced. A number of respondents wanted smaller lots and higher density and others wanted larger lots and less density.

### Open Space and Community Amenities

Many respondents liked the policies on parks, trails, and greenways. Some respondents suggested the addition of more parks or natural spaces as well as a new recreation centre.

### Environment

Most respondents supported the wildlife and tree protection policies. Others expressed concerns about the protection of the natural environment.

### Mobility and Transportation

Some respondents liked the enhanced connectivity and safety that would be provided by new sidewalks and bike paths. Many were concerned about increased traffic and the proposed road extensions and upgrades.

### Implementation and Phasing (Neighbourhood plans)

Many respondents supported the policies that would see growth phased through neighbourhood planning. Some wanted more details on the proposed phasing.

### Building and Development Design (DPA Guidelines)

Many respondents thought the design guidelines will help protect and enhance the community character. Some indicated the need for stricter guidelines to avoid “cookie-cutter” design.

## Highlights of the Feedback on the Draft Tree Bylaw

Almost all respondents supported the protection of trees, but feedback on the draft Tree Clear-Cutting Bylaw was mixed. Some thought the two tree limit was not restrictive enough and others thought it was too restrictive. Some respondents said it should take into account varying numbers of trees per lot. Other respondents noted it must be properly enforced to be effective.

*I feel like at the start residents were skeptical about the process, but as time has gone on it really seems (at least to me) that planners and MODUS have done their best to listen to residents while still trying to do their job and follow guidelines set out by the Township and Metro Vancouver.*